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How do we help? ...the right data to the right people at the right time.

1. Help Engineering and Safety communities do their job more efficiently
   ○ Less time data gathering/munging/scrubbing; more time engineering and analyzing

2. Greater data fidelity so that engineers can be confident in their results

3. Quietly, implicitly inspire a migration from paper culture to data culture
We did it different & it’s working:

Traditional:

- Achieve management needs (e.g. metrics, reporting) by mandating tools/processes
- Lead with technology, tools, programming languages
- Data architecture defined with minimal engineering community engagement (usually admins)
- Define the exhaustive data architecture first; implement only once baselined
- Information system leads approve all changes

Now:

- Achieve management needs as a by-product of addressing pain points at the working level
- Lead with process-analysis and understanding of customer goals
- Engage in constant contact with end users; build personal relationships
- Implement positive change early and often; evolve data architecture
- Information system leads define strategy; encourage autonomy to project leads
NASA Adoption
MBSE at NASA

- an implementer’s perspective.
Lessons Learned from NASA JPL (via INCOSE)

• Vocabulary in SySML, UML, and other models provides a baseline of expressivity

• More nuance can be realized with an ontology

• When models collide, an ontology and logical constraints can help discern the relationships
90% Shared Goals and Intentions

- What’s the impact? ...the rationale? ..the effect?
- How do you know you’re done?
- Right data to right people at right time
- Data-centric; long-duration knowledge capture
- Distributed architecture
- Confidence of paper, but the benefits of technology
Traditional MBSE @ NASA

A prescriptive SE process for using models to understand structure and behavior of the system.

- Implemented in SysML via MagicDraw - barriers to entry tends to separate data from SMEs
- Models integrated via duplication and manual synchronizing - resulting in data duplication.
- Models feed centralized, monolithic “source of truth” - generally with access limited to the modelers.
- Modeling processes designed for small, homogenous teams - limited ability to support a large, distributed, heterogeneous, “light-touch” environment.
Knowledge Graph Support for MBSE
Not big data... heterogeneous data.
HOW TO BUILD A KNOWLEDGE GRAPH

1. Solve the Data Silo Problem
2. Identify Relationships Between Data
3. Apply Machine Learning and Logical Reasoning to Gain Insight

A Knowledge Graph connects all data without moving or copying it.
STARDOG PULLS ALL THE DATA TOGETHER

Works with existing infrastructure for databases, BI, and analytics.

STARDOG is
✓ Delivered on premise or in the cloud
✓ Based on a reusable data model
✓ Capable of working across schemas
✓ Standards-based

STARDOG is not
✘ A data visualization tool
✘ A storage-only database
Digital Thread, the Systems Engineering Challenge

How do we look across all disciplines for a holistic and reusable view of the data?

Data Silo Problem Pervasive
Best of breed tools and point to point integrations means everyone has a different view, but none unified

Manual Effort to Create Cross Discipline Data
From verification closures to components and structures, the lack of a reusable and extensible data fabric means costly on-off efforts

Modeling Languages Don’t Go Far Enough
MBSE and SysML elevated basic record structures to a first approximation of modeling, but don’t go far enough to capture differences
Knowledge Graphs accelerate Systems Engineering

• Knowledge graphs can unify the disparate systems
  • Virtual Graphs over in-situ data
  • Direct alignment with engineering tool standards (e.g. OSLC)

• Ontologies provide a complementary modeling capability
  • Expressivity to align various model concepts and relationships
  • Domain specific reasoning to avoid undecidable or intractable relationships
  • Quality tools (e.g. using Stardog Integrity Constraint Validation)

• Drive standards based integration
  • W3C standards for the data format (RDF), query language (SPARQL) and ontology (OWL) in the knowledge graph
  • OSLC standards for Linked Data for engineering lifecycle tools
Results for Artemis Data Integration
A suite of solutions... all built off the graph
Case Study: FIQS (before; “simplified” view)

Step 1: find requirement on page 78

Step 3: Back to SLS-RPT-XXX

Step 2a: Find DVO on page 93

Step 2b: Find DVO on page 59

Step 4: find DMM on page 46

Step 5: rinse, repeat
Case Study: FIQS (after)

- Leverages web services to tie into 13 different source data systems and 40+ data objects
- Models SME-managed data and bidirectional links in a knowledge graph to show a single view of NASA design & flight certification.
- Enables users to navigate all of the source data **instantaneously** (minutes instead of days).
- Some traces that were simply unavailable can now be easily seen.
Case Study: Compact Unique Identifiers (After)

PROGRAMS/ELEMENTS

REQUIREMENTS

GROUND SOFTWARE

EGS Ground Software (IA)

CUI Change Notifications

CUI CHANGE

IMPACT ASSESSMENT
Customer Response

Reporting based on this capability has identified large numbers of mismatches, each of which may force rework or failures in ground console development or integrated testing.

-around 200

requirements referencing data that can’t be found in the end product

8000+

References that don’t resolve to any actual data (e.g. “TBD”, etc)
Getting Off The Stage
...how’s it going?
The presence of OMS and LMS and the capabilities we designed in to these tools is HUGE. **Light-years ahead of what we had for SSP**... As a result, the **standing army** of Requirements Project Engineers (RPE's) USA employed during SSP **no longer need to exist** in the TOSC contract. In SSP, we had 8-12 folks who worked full time on managing the OMRS and LCC data, chasing paper signatures, developing products for boards, etc.

- Operational Requirements Panel Lead (June 2016)
The “Big Picture” Is Federated

1. Of the data in the ESD/M2M graph, less than 30% is created by SE.
2. For non-SE content, over 80% have a known relationship to an SE product.
3. Of cross-system reports developed for ESD design and build certification, nearly all reference SE-generated data.

...we approach MBSE as one part of this larger problem.
Metcalf's Law: Value is in the Network